(*b*) whether the High Court of Mysore has recommended enhancement of the same;

(*c*) whether they will enhance the salaries of the Munsiffs bringing the same into line with the salaries of the Assistant Commissioners in the Revenue Department?

A.—**Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY** (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) Rs. 250—25—500.

(*b*) No.

(*c*) No.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—With reference to answer to (*b*), is it true that during the time of Mr. Justice P. Venkataramana Rao Naidu, the proposal for the revision of the salaries of Munsiffs had been submitted and His Highness also had approved of it?

Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—Yes, it was on the recommendation of the ex-Chief Justice and also on the representation made by the Judicial Officers' Association that the question of revision of the salaries of Munsiffs was taken up and revision was actually effected by the Government.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—When the Government revised the salaries of the Munsiffs, did they consider that the salaries should be on a par with those of Assistant Commissioners of the Revenue Department?

Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—No, not that I am aware of.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—I will even give the date. Will the Government kindly ascertain whether it is true? The date is 27th November 1946.

Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—What happened on that date?

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—That is the date, I am told, when the Government considered that the salaries of Munsiffs should be on a par with those of Assistant Commissioners.

Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—I am not aware of it.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—Is it true that though His Highness had approved of the revised scales of salaries of these Munsiffs, it was not brought to the notice of the Government, at the time they took charge, when the salaries of Assistant Commissioners were increased to Rs. 300?

Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—Salaries of Assistant Commissioners were revised and salaries of Munsiffs also were revised.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—Is it true that prior to 1906 the salaries of Munsiffs and Assistant Commissioners were the same?

Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—I cannot apply myself to answering that.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—Is it true that the Government have thought of transferring revenue and rent control cases to Munsiffs?

Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—How does that question arise out of this?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That question does not arise.

Government Properties in Possession of His Highness the Rajpramukh of Mysore.

Q.—51. **Sri T. SUBRAMANYA** (Tumkur District—General).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether the States Ministry of the Government of India had requested the Rajpramukh of Mysore to give a list of properties in his possession which are Government properties;

(*b*) whether any such list is being prepared;

(*c*) if so, whether they will place the list on the table of this House?

A.—**Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY** (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) No.

(*b*) and (*c*) Do not arise.

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Is His Highness the Maharaja in possession of any Government properties?

Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—The whole thing is being examined. His Highness the Maharaja has given a list of the Palace properties and the whole subject is under consideration. Therefore, it is too early to say if His Highness is in possession of Government properties as such.

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—If for any reason a portion of Government revenues is spent for repairs of buildings in possession of His Highness the Maharaja, will that building be considered as a Government building?

Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—Hon'ble Member knows well that he is putting a hypothetical question.

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—When the list is prepared will the Government be pleased to place the same on the table of this House?

Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—We will consider that.

Sri M. N. JOIS (Mysore District—General).—How many buildings has His Highness the Rajpramukh sold?

Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—To my knowledge His Highness the Rajpramukh has not sold any building.

Mushir-ul-Mulk J. MAHOMED IMAM (Chitaldrug *cum* Tumkur—Muslim).—Sir, I rise to a point of order. Under Rule 28 of the Mysore Legislative Rules no member can put a question which will reflect on His Highness the Maharaja.

Mr. SPEAKER.—What is the reflection?

Janab J. MAHOMED IMAM.—The reflection that His Highness has got in his possession properties which belong to Government.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Is it a reflection?

Janab J. MAHOMED IMAM.—Yes, it is a reflection to impute to him that he is in possession of property which is not his.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Where is the imputation? As head of the State, he may have in his possession some properties which belong to Government.

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Sir, I want to say one word. In fact it is no reflection. It is in the interests of His Highness the Rajpramukh himself that we know all these things.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Mysore District—General).—Are the Government aware that His Highness the Rajpramukh of Mysore can be in possession of Government properties in his official capacity?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question does not arise out of this.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—My question is whether the Government are aware that His Highness the Maharaja, in his official capacity as Rajpramukh, can be in possession of Government property?

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY (Mandya District—General).—Sir, I rise to a point of order. Even after the Hon'ble Speaker has given a ruling, the Hon'ble Member is putting the very same question.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is not the same question. However that question does not arise. We shall go to the next question—Question No. 79.

Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—That question has been dealt with yesterday, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Then we shall take up the next question—No. 107.

Gopala Rao Enquiry Committee—Amounts collected, expended and disbursed.

*Q.—107. **Sri K. SAMPANGI RAMIAH** (Kolar District—General).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the amount collected by the Gopala Rao Enquiry Committee;

(*b*) the amount of expenditure of the Committee;

(*c*) the amounts disbursed to the creditors of Sri Gopala Rao?

A.—**Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY** (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) Rs. 43,12,942-4-3.

(*b*) Rs. 1,15,219-3-3.

(*c*) Rs. 39,98,032-10-10.

Sri K. SAMPANGI RAMIAH.—May I know the recommendations of the Committee with regard to the balance of two lakhs of rupees left over?

Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—That question has been answered yesterday.

Sri K. SAMPANGI RAMIAH.—It was stated that the Government have not yet made up their mind about the distribution of that amount. May I know the recommendations of the Committee as regards that amount?

Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—I have stated that the recommendations